

ADDENDUM TO INVITATION FOR BID

September 19, 2018

TO: Concerned Bidders

RE: Addendum No. 3: IFB-NK-18-242 – FOREST HILLS OUTFALL MODIFICATION

The following changes, clarifications, additions, and/or deletions are hereby made a part of the Invitation to Bid referenced above, as fully and completely as if the same were fully set forth therein:

1. Why does the north work zone area bid item no. 2 have a unit quantity of “2”?
 - a. Scriver’s Error, it should be 1 as it’s a Lump Sum
2. South work zone area bid items 5 and 16 have the same description. Is this a duplicate?
 - a. Scriver’s Error, it should be just one bid line item for the S Side for 250 cyds
 - b. The original bid line item 16 was deleted, see below

SOUTH WORK ZONE AREA						
ITEM No.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE	
1	Maintenance of Traffic	LS	1	\$	\$	
2	Clearing & Grubbing	LS	1	\$	\$	
3	Sheet Pile Cofer Dam	EA	1	\$	\$	
4	Sheet Pile Installation (Contingent)	SF	800	\$	\$	
5	Excavation of Unsuitable Materials	CY	250	\$	\$	
6	48" RCP Pip, Class III	LF	686	\$	\$	
7	Outfall Structures SD - 9	EA	1	\$	\$	
8	Junction Structure SD - 10	EA	1	\$	\$	
9	Structures SD - 14 (Type J, FDOT Index 200)	EA	1	\$	\$	
10	Structures SD - 15 & SD - 16 (Type J, FDOT Index 200 w Type 4 Tops, FDOT Index 210)	EA	2	\$	\$	
11	Inflow Structure SD - 17	EA	1	\$	\$	
12	Removal of Existing Storm Drainage Pipe (24" RCP)	LF	706	\$	\$	
13	Removal of Existing Headwall (Sand Cement 24" RCP)	EA	1	\$	\$	
14	Removal of Existing Endwall (24" RCP)	EA	1	\$	\$	
15	Dewatering/Drainage Control	EA	1	\$	\$	
16	Rip Rap	CY	63	\$	\$	
17	Silt Fence	LF	1,608	\$	\$	

- c.
3. South work zone area bid items 28, 29, 30, 31, and 33 all appear to have the incorrect. Please review and correct.
 - a. Corrected


SOUTH WORK ZONE AREA						
ITEM No.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE	
27	6" Lime Rock Base	SY	49	\$	\$	
28	9" Lime Rock Base	SY	72	\$	\$	
29	9" Stabilized Subgrade	SY	121	\$	\$	
30	1 1/2" Asphaltic Concrete	TON	49	\$	\$	
31	2" Asphaltic Concrete	TON	72	\$	\$	
32	Droop Curb	LF	72	\$	\$	
33	Sooding	LF	14,000	\$	\$	
34	Selective Clearing w/in Conservation Easement	LF	1	\$	\$	
35	Landscaping (Contingent)	EA	1	\$	\$	
				SOUTH WORK AREA SUBTOTAL BID PRICE \$ -		

- b.
4. The plans for the north work area are missing pages 2-15 and 17-19. Can these pages be provided?
 - a. Unfortunately, NO. Part of the drainage work depicted w/in these construction plans pages is NOT pertinent to this particular project.
 - b. In our opinion, providing these pages is likely to cause confusion as to the work targeted for completion under this contract
 5. The plans for the north work area appear to be a scanned copy and are very difficult to read. Can an original file be provided?
 - a. The copies of the construction plans I have, are as good as the one you – Purchasing Dept. has on record. In my opinion these are legible
 6. The description of the south work area on SP-8 describes “ furnishing & installing a 48” RCP, the only pay item in this south work area is bid item no. 6, 18” RCP. Please correct or clarify.
 - a. Scriver’s Error, it should be 48” RCP

SOUTH WORK ZONE AREA						
ITEM No.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE	
1	Maintenance of Traffic	LS	1	\$	\$	
2	Clearing & Grubbing	LS	1	\$	\$	
3	Sheet Pile Cofer Dam	EA	1	\$	\$	
4	Sheet Pile Installation (Contingent)	SF	800	\$	\$	
5	Excavation of Unsuitable Materials	CY	100	\$	\$	
6	48" RCP Pip, Class III	LF	686	\$	\$	
7	Outfall Structures SD - 9	EA	1	\$	\$	

- b.
7. How is the biodegradable erosion control blanket specified in note #2 on plan sheet 23 paid for?
 - a. There is NO separate bid line item for this erosion control blanket.
 - b. Its cost is to be taken into account as part of the pipe installation cost
 8. Plan sheet 23 shows connecting to the existing 24” that runs south from SD-13. Plan sheet 24 calls for this pipe to be plugged and filled (note in center of the page). Which is correct?
 - a. Plan Sheet 23, shows connecting into the existing 24” pipe, which is correct.

PURCHASING DEPARTMENT



- b.
- c. Initially, the intent was plugged and filled it as, stated in plan sheet 24.
- d. However, the existing 24" pipe has recently been replaced - replaced. For this reason, the work calls now is for a "tie in"
 - i. This construction plan page, #23, was provided to Purchase Dept via email, see insert below.
 - ii. Construction plan page #24 is been amended by the design engineer, as we speak. The revised sheet will be available soon

SW-824; N841; Forest Hills Outfall/West Drainage Improvements: Plan Revision; Easement

Efrain Figueroa

Sent: Tue 9/4/2018 7:43 AM

To: Stephanie M. Nunn

Cc: Donald Carey; George Thomas

- Message Forest Hills West Plans 1 23 2018-08-31.pdf (466 KB)
- SW-824 FHW DRAINAGE EASEMENT 6B IKAROS RECORDED 2018-08-07 ORBP 9768 2479.pdf (516 KB)

Mrs. **Nunn**

Following our conversation last Friday afternoon, the attached two (2) documents need to be included as part of the invitation for bid (IFB) package for the Forest Hills Drainage improvements Project.

- Newly acquire drainage easement
- Revised construction plan page, # 23

In addition, last Friday, we held the Pre Bid Meeting for this project. Unfortunately, one of the prospective bidders informed us the published IFB package does **NOT** include the construction plans.

I tried to verified it but could NOT gain access to the FI Bid Net site, as my credentials appears to have expired. However, I asked a friend who does have access, to checked it out, NO plans are available.

Given the above, would an addendum and a time extension be allowed for this IFB?

Please advice

Once, thanks for your help.


- e.
- 9. Are we to use the cut & patch detail or the pavement restoration detail on plan sheet 51 for the roadway restoration bid item?
 - a. Correct! The intent is to replace the existing asphalt with a 2" asphalt Surface

PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

b. See revised Bid Line Item, below

NORTH WORK ZONE AREA					
ITEM No.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE
25	2" Asphaltic Concrete for ROADWAY RESTORATION	TON	100	\$	\$
26	CONCRETE DROP CURB	LF	294	\$	\$

c.



PAVEMENT RESTORATION DETAIL
N.T.S.

d.

10. Where is the north work zone bid item #6 flowable fill to be used
 - a. Soil borings shows that at several areas, where unsuitable soils were encountered.
 - b. The purpose of the flowable fill is to speed up reconstruction efforts by offering a backfill material easy to install, while speeding activities.
11. Can a detail for the railroad tie retaining wall shown on plan sheet 49 be provided?
 - a. There's NO need for the railroad tie retaining wall any more, the county was able to secure a drainage easement where the retaining walls was to be installed, the contractor can proceed to grade and slope the area accordingly
12. How is the railroad tie retaining wall paid for?
 - a. This bid line item is been deleted

PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

13. North work zone area – there is no pay item for structure SD-14B Type 8 storm manhole.
- a. Bid sheet has been amended to reflect this structure, see below

SOUTH WORK ZONE AREA					
ITEM No.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE
9	Structures SD - 14 (Type J, FDOT Index 200)	EA	2	\$	\$
10	Structures SD - 15 & SD - 16	EA	2	\$	\$

b.

14. General note 18 on plan sheet 3 requires all existing structures and stormwater facilities to remain to be cleaned. Please clearly identify the existing pipe and structures that are to be cleaned.
- a. All pipes, structures and other related appurtenances (installed, modified and/or disturbed) under this work execution shall be free and clean of any debris and or obstructions, and operational, throughout the project period until substantial completion is accepted.
 - b. The Contractor is been reminded; this contract requires the submission of a Close Caption Televised Inspection (CCTV) report; video and written report, as part of the close out documents for this contract
15. This note also states that the cost is to be included in mobilization pay item no. 101-1. This contract down note contains an item for mobilization. Where are we to include this cost?
- a. There is NO separate bid line item for this cleaning effort and CCTV Report. There cost is to be taken into account as part of the project execution cost
16. Note on plan sheet 23 states “Obtain temporary 13’ right-of-access for construction”. Is this county going to obtain this access or is the contractor?
- a. It’s the contractor responsibility to acquire Any temporary “Right of Access” required in order to access any privately own property.
 - i. The cost to acquire, maintain and/or restore any Temporary Right of Access and affected property(s) is to be taken into account as part of the project execution cost.
 - b. The County has already acquire of “ALL Drainage Easement” required for the execution of this work
 - i. Copies of ALL these drainage easements shall be part of the IFB package.
17. The unit for south work zone area item no. 34 appears to be incorrect. Please review.
- a. It’s a Lump Sum item,
 - b. Its intend is to cover any “Landscape Work” that may become necessary and/or required by community HAO due to our work activities

Bidders should acknowledge receipt of this addendum on the Bid Form. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this addendum may be cause for rejection. The opening date will remain unchanged.

Sincerely,

Nikitra King
Purchasing Agent

PURCHASING DEPARTMENT